In the last lecture, we consider a general form of constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_w F(w) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad h_j(w) \leq 0 \quad \forall j \in [m]$$

For each constraint, we introduce a Lagrangian multiplier (or dual variable) $\lambda_j \geq 0$, and write down the following Lagrangian function:

$$L(w, \lambda) = F(w) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j h_j(w)$$

Under “mild” condition (e.g. SVM problem, the so-called Slater’s condition), strong duality holds

$$\max_{\lambda} \min_w L(w, \lambda) = \min_w \max_{\lambda} L(w, \lambda)$$

Let $w^* = \arg \min_w (\max_{\lambda} L(w, \lambda))$ and $\lambda^* = \arg \max_{\lambda} (\min_w L(w, \lambda))$ denote the optimal primal and dual solutions respectively. When strong duality holds, we have the following KKT conditions:

- (Complementary slackness): last equality implies that $\lambda^*_j h_j(w^*) = 0$ for all $j$.
- (Stationarity): $w^*$ is the minimizer of $L(w, \lambda^*)$ and thus has gradient zero
  $$\nabla_w L(w^*, \lambda^*) = \nabla F(w^*) + \sum_j \lambda^*_j \nabla h_j(w^*) = 0$$
- (Feasibility): $\lambda_j \geq 0$ and $h_j(w^*) \leq 0$ for all $j$.

The KKT conditions are necessary conditions for the optimal solutions. However, they are also sufficient when $F$ is convex and the set of $h_j$ are continuously differentiable convex functions.

**Dual Formulation of SVM**

Now we apply the tools Lagrange duality to the soft-margin SVM problem.

$$\min_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\forall i, \quad y_i(w^T x_i) \geq 1 - \xi_i$$

$$\forall i, \quad \xi_i \geq 0$$
To derive the Lagrangian, we rewrite each constraint in (2) as
\[ 1 - \xi_i - y_i w^T x_i \leq 0 \]
and introduce a dual variable \( \lambda_i \geq 0 \). For each constraint \( \xi_i \geq 0 \), we introduce a dual variable \( \alpha_i \geq 0 \). The set of variables \( w \) and \( \xi \) that are called the primal variables. This allows us to write down the Lagrangian objective:
\[
L(w, \xi, \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \| w \|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(1 - \xi_i - y_i w^T x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \xi_i
\]

Now we can apply the KKT conditions to obtain some characterizations of the SVM solution. First, applying the stationarity condition \( \nabla_w L(w^*, \xi^*, \lambda^*, \alpha^*) = 0 \):
\[
w = \sum_i y_i \lambda_i^* x_i \quad (\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 0)
\]
\[
C - \lambda_i^* - \alpha_i^* = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_i} = 0)
\]
Let us plug these back into \( L \):
\[
L(w, \xi, \lambda, \alpha) = C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(1 - \xi_i - y_i w^T x_i)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2_2 + \sum_i \lambda_i - \sum_i \lambda_i \left( y_i \left( \sum_j y_j \lambda_j x_j \right)^T x_i \right)
\]
(Plug in \( C = \alpha_i + \lambda_i \))
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2_2 + \sum_i \lambda_i - \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j
\]
\[
= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j
\]
The optimization problem then becomes:
\[
\max_{\alpha, \lambda} \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j
\]
such that for all \( i \):
\[
C = \lambda_i + \alpha_i \quad \lambda_i, \alpha_i \geq 0
\]
Observe that we could also replace the constraints by the following so that we only have one set of decision variables to optimize:
\[
\text{for all } i : \quad 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq C
\]
This is a quadratic program with a quadratic objective function and a set of linear constraints. Suppose we are given the optimal solution $\lambda^*$. What is the linear predictor we get from this dual solution? We know from the KKT conditions that

$$w^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \lambda^*_i x_i = \sum_{i: \lambda^*_i > 0} y_i \lambda^*_i x_i$$

Any point $i$ with $\lambda^*_i > 0$ is called a support vector, hence the name SVM.

Now let us apply complementary slackness from the KKT conditions:

$$\text{for all } i, \quad \alpha_i^* \xi_i^* = 0, \quad \lambda_i^* (1 - \xi_i^* - y_i \langle w^*, x_i \rangle) = 0$$

For any support vector with $\lambda_i^* > 0$, we then also have

$$(1 - \xi_i^* - y_i \langle w^*, x_i \rangle) = 0 \iff 1 - \xi_i^* = y_i \langle w^*, x_i \rangle$$

We can break it down into the following cases:

- If $\xi_i^* = 0$, then $y_i \langle w^*, x_i \rangle = 1$, which means the point is exactly $1/\|w\|$ away from the decision boundary.

- If $\xi_i^* < 1$, then $y_i \langle w^*, x_i \rangle \in (0,1)$, then this point is classified correctly but pretty close to the decision boundary with distance less than $1/\|w\|$.

- If $\xi_i^* > 1$, then $y_i \langle w^*, x_i \rangle < 0$, then this point is classified incorrectly.

**SVM as compuression.** SVM can also be viewed as a form of compression, since we only need the support vectors to define the final solution. If all examples other than the support vectors are removed from the training set, and the we rerun SVM, the same weight vector would be found.

**Multiclass Extensions**

SVM is inherently a classification method for binary class $Y$. There are many ways to take binary classification methods like SVM to solve multiclass classification problems. We discuss two standard approaches here. Let $Y = \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

**One-against-all.** This involves solving $k$ binary classification problems, each of which requires us to classify the current class $j$ against all other classes. Given a dataset $D = \{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\}$, we can construct $k$ datasets $D_1, \ldots, D_k$ such that

$$D_j = \{(x_i, 1[y_i = j])\}_{i=1}^{n}$$

Then run SVM $k$ times: on each dataset $D_j$ to obtain a weight vector $w_j$. Finally, on any example $x$, we will predict

$$\hat{y} = \arg \max_{j \in Y} \langle w_j, x \rangle$$
**One-against-one.** Run SVM \(k(k - 1)/2\) times: for every pair \(j, j' \in \mathcal{Y}\) such that \(j < j'\), learn a weight vector \(w_{j,j'}\) that distinguishes between the two classes using the subset of data with labels \(j\) and \(j'\). For each example \(x\), the weight vector \(w_{j,j'}\) “votes” for either label \(j\) or label \(j'\). Finally, we predict the class with the highest votes given by the weight vectors \(w_{j,j'}\).

We can also modify binary SVM directly to construct a multiclass SVM method.

**Multiclass SVM** Another idea similar to one-against-all is to train \(w_1, \ldots, w_k\) simultaneously by asking the predictor to predict the right label on each example:

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{w_1, \ldots, w_k} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \|w_j\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \\
\text{such that} & \\
\forall i, \forall j \neq y_i & w_{y_i}^T x_i \geq w_j^T x_i + 1 - \xi_i \\
\forall i, & \xi_i \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]